UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,535	11/03/2000	Rudy Bonefas	35825-164588	5575
	7590 01/11/201 NISON & SELTER PL	EXAMINER		
ATTEN: WILL	IAM H. BOLLMAN	BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R		
2000 M STREE SUITE 700	21, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20016	2478		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		01/11/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/704,535	BONEFAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENJAMIN R. BRUCKART	2478	

		BEI 107 IIIIII 1 11: BI 10 OI I/ II 1	2470	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE	REPLY FILED 20 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance of CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed of	t, or other evidence, wl with 37 CFR 41.31; or	nich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	٦.
have under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the srth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriation and the final Office the fi	te extension fee action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wellow NDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT ow);	E below);	
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. <u> </u>	'		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
6.			imely filed amendment	t canceling the
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> . Claim(s) rejected: 24-33,56,57 and 59-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .		l be entered and an ex	planation of
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	d.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Benjamin R Bruckart/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2478	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner consider the amendment on the independent claim changing acknowledging to detecting a change in scopre that requires further search and consideration.

.The Balcerowski reference teaches the feature of detecting message duplication and discarding it. On page 8 of applicant's response, applicant points to Balcerowski arguing that UPD does not check for duplicate datagrams. While those are underlying features of UDP, a fast but not as reliable protocol. Balcerowski shows that timers and sequence numbers are used for detecting and handling lost and duplicate messages. UPD does not already provide for detecting of duplicate messages but has the framework and features used with timers to perform such a task. The protocol is taught in Renouard. The simple feature of detecting duplicate messages is shown in Balcerowski.